

ETHICAL DYNAMICS IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE: A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES

Diva Aulia Ni`masari¹ & Pinky Permata Sari²

^{1,2} Universitas Negeri Surabaya

*Corresponding email: diva.23430@mhs.unesa.ac.id, pinky.23191@mhs.unesa.ac.id

Abstrak: Tata kelola perusahaan memiliki karakteristik yang sangat berbeda di berbagai negara ekonomi utama, dengan adanya kerangka peraturan, parameter budaya, dan prioritas ekonomi menjadi faktor yang menentukan perbedaan ini. Model yang berpusat pada pemegang saham di Amerika Serikat memiliki peraturan keuangan yang ketat sedangkan, di Inggris, pendekatan berbasis prinsip memperluas fleksibilitas tetapi tetap menuntut akuntabilitas. Sistem yang berorientasi pada pemangku kepentingan di Jerman dengan struktur dewan dua tingkat memungkinkan representasi terbesar, sementara Jepang telah melakukan transisi dari sistem keiretsu ke bentuk tata kelola yang lebih menekankan transparansi. Di Tiongkok, pengaruh negara sangat dominan dalam penetapan prioritas GCG dengan latar belakang kepentingan nasional. Apa yang tetap umum, terlepas dari perbedaan ini, adalah tantangan etika terkait transparansi, akuntabilitas eksekutif, dan penegakan peraturan. Tata kelola perusahaan pasti akan berkembang menghadapi tantangan GCG yang muncul secara global di arena ekonomi dan sosial, dengan etika tetap menjadi pertimbangan utama dalam pembentukan praktik bisnis yang berkelanjutan di seluruh dunia.

Kata kunci: Tata Kelola Perusahaan, Tantangan Etika, Kerangka Peraturan

Abstract: Corporate governance bears completely different characteristics in the major economies, with the presence of the regulatory frameworks, cultural parameters, and economic priorities being the factors determining these differences. The shareholder-centric model in the United States has rigorous financial regulations whereas, in the United Kingdom, the principles-based approach stretches flexibility but still demands accountability. Germany's stakeholder-oriented system with a two-tier board structure allows for the greatest representation, while Japan has made a transition from the keiretsu system to a mode of governance stressing more transparency. In China, state influence is overwhelmingly predominant in the setting of GCG priorities against the backdrop of the national interest. What remains common, notwithstanding these differences, is the ethical challenges of transparency, executive accountability, and regulatory enforcement. Corporate governance is bound to evolve against GCG challenges emerging globally in the economic and social arena, with ethics remaining a key consideration in the formation of sustainable business practices worldwide.

Keywords: Corporate Governance, Ethical Challenges, Regulatory Frameworks

INTRODUCTION

To emerge as a cornerstone of modern business practice, corporate governance (CG) usually means the mechanism through which companies are directed and controlled. Most importantly, corporate governance is not compliance with the law and regulation. It should embrace the ethical behavior necessary for long-term sustainability as well as for trust building among stakeholders. Ethics is inherent in corporate governance, while several of the most significant corporate scandals have been fuelled by ethical lapses resulting in public distrust and financial ruin. Ethical lapses have included Enron and Volkswagen, while the lessons learned from such incidences have shown the need for ethical weight in decision-making for corporate governance. (Solomon, 2020)

The dynamics of ethics in corporate governance will be examined globally in this article. It undertakes an investigation of the practices, issues, and emerging standards pertaining to the field. The theme will take readers to broad general discussion on corporate governance ethics-the roles of stakeholders in corporate governance ethics, cultural versus legal influences, and globalization and digitalization impacts. This study uses literature reviews, case studies, and analysis of such reports released by international organizations like the OECD, World Bank, and United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) to give the most

comprehensive understanding of the ethical dimensions of corporate governance and actionable recommendations for globally improving ethical practices in businesses.

THEORETICAL BASIS

Basic Concepts of Corporate Governance and Business Ethics

Corporate governance, on an international scale, refers to the systems, principles, and processes of directing and managing the enterprise whereby the shareholders, management, customers, suppliers, financiers, government, and community are all interested parties. At the heart of corporate governance is the process by which stakeholders are made accountable, treated in a just manner, and kept informed about the matters related to their interests in a transparent way. Business ethics refer to the application of moral principles to business behavior. The essence of business ethics is the practice of such values as honesty, integrity, fairness, and respect for others. These values engender trust, which sustains long-term success. (Murphy, 2020).

These principles encompass accountability, transparency, fairness, and responsibility. Accountability means that people making decisions should be answerable for the consequences, while transparency means that such information should be made public in a clear and comprehensible manner to stakeholders. Fairness means that no group wins over another; responsibility means to care about the social and environmental impact of corporate decisions. Stakeholders are, therefore, important in the context of corporate governance ethics. Shareholders, for example, have a vested interest in ensuring that companies are being run ethically so as to protect their investments. The other stakeholders that are interested in corporate governance are the employees, customers, and communities, whose rights to existence and living might be snatched away if unworthy practices are constitutionally adopted.

Global Perspective in Corporate Governance Ethics

The ethical norms of corporate governance greatly differ from one country to another, depending on cultural, legal, and economic factors. In the case of countries such as the United States and the United Kingdom, corporate governance is generally characterized by a predominance of shareholder primacy with almost a market-oriented regulatory environment, whereas countries like Germany and Japan tend to favor the interests of not only shareholders but also employees, suppliers, and the community. While corporate governance ethics in newly-emerging economies in Africa and Asia tend to face special challenges with regard to implementation, the challenges stem from weak enforcement mechanisms, regulatory framework, and institutional capacities.

International organizations have been instrumental in the formulation of global standards in corporate governance. One of the key organizations is the OECD, which formulated principles of corporate governance that provide a reference for countries worldwide. Such principles express transparency, accountability, and shareholder rights as key elements. Likewise, the World Bank has been providing support for corporate governance reforms in developing nations through technical assistance and capacity-building programs. The various ethical dimensions of corporate governance have also been promoted by the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC), which asks businesses to align their strategies and operations with universal principles covering human rights, labor, environment, and anti-corruption.

Also, cultural and legal factors influence the way corporate governance ethics are adhered to. For example, in some cultures, with their hierarchical structures and relationships,

transparency and accountability become a challenge. Legal systems too find their way in- a country faced with the strong rule of law would most likely be in a better position to enforce its corporate governance principles as opposed to a country where legal systems lack integrity and are not functional. However, in inculcating ethical values and commitments to corporate governance, it would require a culture to do that. (Pandey 2023).

Corruption undermines trust in institutions, distorting market mechanisms to inefficiencies and inequities. Conflicts of interest may emerge among directors, executives, and stakeholders and thereby interfere with decision-making that is oriented toward public interest and into public confidence of corporate governance. Transparency and accountability relating to the financial statements provide other critical ethical issues. Situations with misrepresenting financial statements done by Enron and WorldCom could result in the devastating consequences that fall on investors, employees, and the economy in general. (Zaman 2022).

Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards are the new parameters of morality in corporate governance. In ESG lay the importance of sustainability, social responsibility, and ethical leadership in business practices. The criteria are becoming increasingly stringent, judging companies not only on the basis of financial performance, but also in terms of their impact on the environment and society. Furthermore, these changes have been compounded with globalization, and more significantly digitalization. While globalization created broader scope and opportunities for growth and innovation, it exposed companies to ethical dilemmas such as exploitation of labor and environmental degradation in their supply chains. On the other hand, digitalization presents newer and even more complicated ethical risks related to data privacy, challenges to cybersecurity, and even using artificial intelligence in an ethical manner.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative method with a descriptive-comparative approach to analyze differences in corporate governance systems across various countries. Data is collected through a literature review encompassing regulations, policies, and reports related to corporate governance from academic sources, government documents, and international organizations. Content analysis is used to identify patterns, differences, and key challenges in corporate governance implementation, particularly in terms of transparency, executive accountability, and regulatory enforcement. This approach enables a deeper understanding of how cultural, economic, and policy factors influence corporate governance in different countries and how ethical principles remain a key element in building sustainable business practices globally.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Cases of Violations and Best Practices in Corporate Governance Ethics

There have been great numbers of transgressions by the corporate world with respect to ethical governance principles and implications that are very far-reaching. For example, Volkswagen's emissions scandal was a dramatic revelation of a culture of non-compliance and ethical shortcuts, that could cause massive reputational and financial damage. Similar revelations were made in collapse of Lehman Brothers, which revealed the consequences of soaring risk-taking without accountability in decision-making by corporations. Areas of ethical leadership and strong governance mechanisms are therefore very important in averting such events occurring. (Scherer, 2020)

On the contrary, there are examples of best practices in ethical sustainable corporate governance adopted by some companies. Unilever is one of these companies; it has placed sustainability as the heart of its business model coupled with promises to minimize the environmental footprint and to improve social outcomes. Patagonia is another example categorized as outdoor apparel whose ethical best practice is fair labor and conservation. Such companies have shown the world that sound ethical corporate governance is a competitive advantage, not just a moral necessity. (Gallego, 2020)

Strategies and Recommendations for Enhancing Ethics in Global Corporate Governance

Government action is vital if the new framework is to take a proactive approach to the ethical issues in corporate governance while continuing the regulatory enforcement. Such administration policies are essential to the ethical behavior of an organization, such as those on accountability, transparency, and stakeholder engagement. These governments need to cooperate with international organizations to harmonize the standards for corporate governance and, eventually, bring about their cross-border effective implementations. Corporate leaders can persuade their organizations in best practices by adopting them into a code of ethics and rolling out ethics training and awareness programs. To this end, leaders must also demonstrate their commitment to ethical decision-making by actions and decisions. Corporate leaders have to put ethics into practice and adopt codes of ethics as a guide for an organization to follow behaviorally. They can also roll out ethics training and awareness programs. Take the stance of leading by example in such a way that actions show the commitment to making ethical decisions. Technology can be a great booster for improving and strengthening transparency and accountability in governance systems. Blockchain can provide proof against fraud and corruption through creating an unchangeable history of occurrences. Artificial intelligence could help in monitoring whether the entities follow ethical rules and detect whether such risks are likely to happen. The ethical side of these technologies should be put into consideration, however, before they be applied. (Ararat, 2021)

Corporate governance influences the ethical and operational frameworks for businesses worldwide. With globalization and more corporate reach across borders, issues of needing stronger corporate governance structures have become pressing. The ethical dynamics of corporate governance vary among countries, mainly due to regulatory environments, cultural factors, and economic priorities. Examples of countries like the United States, the United Kingdom, Germany, Japan, and China have various approaches to corporate governance with distinctive process frameworks and ethical dimensions that affect practices in businesses, shareholder rights, and corporate accountability.

Thus, in comparison with many other industrialized states, corporate governance in the US emphasizes maximizing shareholder wealth in the corporation. The strict standards of financial reporting and auditing to avert any form of corporate misconduct were clearly stated by the SEC together with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Ethical concerns in American corporate governance often revolve around executive compensation, transparency, and conflicts of interest. . The major discontent in the constructs of corporate governance was directed mainly against Enron and WorldCom scandals, significant scandals that have constituted some major sabotage in the governance system and triggered regulation reforms. Such reforms are intended to enhance accountability; however, concerning these stringent rules, they continue to emerge after having failed to detect ethical breaches.

The United Kingdom follows a principles-based approach to corporate governance, emphasizing compliance with the UK Corporate Governance Code. The principles and

expected behavior surfacing any company's reports are the underlying aspects emphasized by the code, which relates well with transparency, board independence, and shareholder engagement principles, unlike the U.S.-bound heavy and rigid regulatory framework. Ethical perspectives range from executive remuneration to diversity in boards, as well as corporate social responsibility in British corporate governance. The fall of companies like Carillion showed how sometimes strict enforcement of governance principles becomes necessary to avoid corporate failures fueled by mismanagement and excessive risk taking.

The corporate governance system in Germany is unique in the way it has established a two-tier board structure: executive-level management and those supervisory functions ensuring a high degree of accountability, particularly for stakeholder representation such as employees. It is a stakeholder-oriented model where companies balance their interests with those of shareholders and other stakeholders. The ethical concerns within German corporate governance usually revolve around the transparency of decision-making, participation of workers, and the corporate social responsibility of the firm. The Volkswagen emissions scandal was revealed to have an underlying issue of systemic failure with respect to ethical oversight; it raised further questions regarding internal controls and the role regulatory authorities played in enforcement of ethical standards.

Japan's corporate governance arena has undergone remarkable reforms in the past years-from a wholesome Japanese keiretsu system characterized by intertwining businesses through cross-shareholdings to an independent and transparent board structure. The establishment of Japan's Corporate Governance Code and the Stewardship Code was geared towards the objectives of increasing accountability in the system while encouraging independent representation in boards and reassuring investors of their worth. Such ethical challenges faced by Japan's corporate governance include a culture of deference to senior management, lack of whistleblower protection, insufficient provision of gender diversity in leadership positions, and so much more. The Toshiba accounting scandal highlighted weaknesses of governance mechanisms, which prompted the country to intensify their efforts in synchronizing Japanese corporate governance with the best practice standards worldwide.

Large corporations in China are mainly state-owned or subject to significant government intervention. As such, the corporate governance framework inherits the features of state influence. Under the governance model in China, much brighter lies economic stability and national strategic interests rather than shareholder primacy. There is the China Securities Regulatory Commission, which has set forth laws regarding the regulation of corporate governance, although enforcement is inconsistent. Ethical issues such as transparency coupled with political interference and weak investor protection make up some of the challenges inherent in Chinese corporate governance. High-profile corporate fraud cases, such as the Luckin Coffee fraud case, have exposed a big hole regarding financial reporting and deficient internal controls. This need strict regulatory enforcement and accountability as to corporations involved.

Thus, even though the countries differ in corporate governance practices, the fact remains that there are some ethical challenges that are very common to all countries: conflicts of interests, lack of transparency, and even accountability for the executives involved in corporate governance. Although some have stricter law enforcement than other countries, there are also countries that opt for a more principles-based framework allowing for flexibility in governance structures. Emerging global issues, such as climate change, social responsibility, and digital transformation, keep shaping the evolution of corporate governance. While navigating thorns and brambles, ethics in governance will continue to be

at the center of sustainable business practices and stakeholder trust within the corporate arena.

CONCLUSION

Ethical dynamics in corporate governance are very complex and multifaceted, influenced by diverse socio-cultural, legal, and economic factors. Ethics in corporate governance are indispensable because they create the basis for trust, sustainability, and value creation for all stakeholders. The current paper has reported how ethical corporate governance practices can be advanced-from ending corruption and conflicts of interest to realizing ESG criteria and harnessing technology.

The implication of this research is thus very important to the academic, practitioner, and policy-making circles. For academics, it indicates a wider inquiry into the cultural and institutional aspects of the ethics of governance. For practitioners, it is a specific guide on how a company could build up its ethical and integrity cultures. For policymakers, it reiterates the crucial role of the compendiums and cross-country harmonization aimed at enhancing ethical corporate governance.

However, the change in the business world continues the ethical dimensions of corporate governance in constant focus. It is not only about identifying risk but maximizing the value added to society when companies make a prime focus of their activities an ethical basis. The trip towards a developing ethic in corporate governance is never-ending, and every stakeholder must cooperate to make it a sustainable and fair future.

REFERENCES

- Ararat, M., Claessens, S., & Yurtoglu, B. B. (2021). Corporate governance in emerging markets: A selective review and an agenda for future research. *Emerging Markets Review*, 48, 100767.
- Gallego-Álvarez, I., & Pucheta-Martínez, M. C. (2020). Corporate social responsibility reporting and corporate governance mechanisms: An international outlook from emerging countries. *Business Strategy & Development*, 3(1), 77-97.
- Murphy, M. J., & Smolarski, J. M. (2020). Religion and CSR: An Islamic “political” model of corporate governance. *Business & Society*, 59(5), 823-854.
- Pandey, N., Andres, C., & Kumar, S. (2023). Mapping the corporate governance scholarship: Current state and future directions. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 31(1), 127-160.
- Scherer, A. G., & Voegtlin, C. (2020). Corporate governance for responsible innovation: Approaches to corporate governance and their implications for sustainable development. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 34(2), 182-208.
- Solomon, J. (2020). *Corporate governance and accountability*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Zaman, R., Jain, T., Samara, G., & Jamali, D. (2022). Corporate governance meets corporate social responsibility: Mapping the interface. *Business & Society*, 61(3), 690-752.